## JUDGING GUIDELINES

SCIENTIFIC DIVISION					Points
Poster # SCI-					per
Poster Title:					category
Title (3 points)					
-Does the title adequately convey the objectives of the research?	0	1	2	3	
Statement of Purpose (6 points)					
-ls the purpose of the research clearly stated?	0	1	2	3	
-Are the studied measures well defined?	0	1	2	3	
Literature Review (12 points)					
-Is there sufficient published support for the research question	0	1	2	3	
posed?					
-Is the published research presented current/updated?	0	1	2	3	
-Is the review well organized and succinct?	0	1	2	3	
-ls the reference list available?	0	1	2	3	
Methodology/Procedures (15 points)					
-Is the patient population well defined?	0	1	2	3	
-Was the rationale/data collection/analysis explained?	0	1	2	3	
-Were the subjects stratified?	0	1	2	3	
-Was the research method appropriate for the questions posed?	0	1	2	3	
-Were the subjects randomized?	0	1	2	3	
Results (6 points)					
-Is the data concisely reported?	0	1	2	3	
-Are the statistical methods utilized clearly explained?	0	1	2	3	
Analysis/Discussion (12 points)					
-Are the limitations of the data and/or analysis mentioned?	0	1	2	3	
-Are next steps/future directions discussed?	0	1	2	3	
-Are conclusions supported by the data presented?	0	1	2	3	
-ls the research question answered?	0	1	2	3	
Significance (6 points)					
-Is there educational value to the questions posed and the results	0	1	2	3	
presented?					
-Does this add value to the body of literature already available?	0	1	2	3	
Design (12 points)					
-ls it easy to follow the sequence of the presentation	0	1	2	3	
-Are there spelling/grammatical errors that take away from the	0	1	2	3	
presentation?		-	-	_	
-ls the font and color schematic easily readable?	0	1	2	3	
-Did the schematics/images aid the viewer in comprehension of	0	1	2	3	
the presented material?					
Commercialism (3 points)	If yes, subtract 3 points				
-ls commercialism overly evident?	off total score				
	1				ı
				TOTAL	/ 72

## **JUDGING GUIDELINES**

CASE DIVISION					
					Points
Poster # C-					per
Poster Title:					category
Title (3 points)					
-Does the title adequately convey the objectives of the research?	0	1	2	3	
Statement of Purpose (6 points)					
-ls the purpose of the report clearly stated?	0	1	2	3	
-Is the rationale for reporting the case well defined?	0	1	2	3	
Literature Review (9 points)					
-ls the published research presented current/updated?	0	1	2	3	
-Is the review well organized and succinct?	0	1	2	3	
-Is the reference list available?	0	1	2	3	
Case Report (15 points)					
-Is there adequate information presented to fully understand the	0	1	2	3	
case?					
-Is the history of present illness complete?	0	1	2	3	
-Are the physical findings fully reported?	0	1	2	3	
-Is the presentation of the case chronological?	0	1	2	3	
-Is there adequate justification of the treatment selected?	0	1	2	3	
Discussion (6 points)					
-Are next steps/future directions discussed?	0	1	2	3	
-Are the recommendations made supported by the case	0	1	2	3	
presented?					
Significance (6 points)					
-Is there educational value to the case presented?	0	1	2	3	
-Does this add value to the body of literature already available?	0	1	2	3	
Design (12 points)					
-ls it easy to follow the sequence of the presentation	0	1	2	3	
-Are there spelling/grammatical errors that take away from the	0	1	2	3	
presentation?					
-Is the font and color schematic easily readable?	0	1	2	3	
-Did the schematics/images aid the viewer in comprehension of	0	1	2	3	
the presented material?	1.5				
Commercialism (-3 points)			points		
-ls commercialism overly evident?	off	total so			
				TOTAL	/ 57
				TOTAL_	