

## JUDGING GUIDELINES

SCIENTIFIC DIVISION					Points per category
Poster # SCI-					
Poster Title:					
<b>Title (3 points)</b> -Does the title adequately convey the objectives of the research?	0	1	2	3	
<b>Statement of Purpose (6 points)</b> -Is the purpose of the research clearly stated? -Are the studied measures well defined?	0	1	2	3	
<b>Literature Review (12 points)</b> -Is there sufficient published support for the research question posed? -Is the published research presented current/updated? -Is the review well organized and succinct? -Is the reference list available?	0	1	2	3	
<b>Methodology/Procedures (15 points)</b> -Was the rationale/data collection/analysis explained? -Was the research method appropriate for the questions posed?	0	1	2	3	
<b>Results (6 points)</b> -Is the data concisely reported? -Are the statistical methods utilized clearly explained?	0	1	2	3	
<b>Analysis/Discussion (12 points)</b> -Are the limitations of the data and/or analysis mentioned? -Are next steps/future directions discussed? -Are conclusions supported by the data presented? -Is the research question answered?	0	1	2	3	
<b>Significance (6 points)</b> -Is there educational value to the questions posed and the results presented? -Does this add value to the body of literature already available?	0	1	2	3	
<b>Design (12 points)</b> -Is it easy to follow the sequence of the presentation -Are there spelling/grammatical errors that take away from the presentation? -Is the font and color schematic easily readable? -Did the schematics/images aid the viewer in comprehension of the presented material?	0	1	2	3	
<b>Commercialism (3 points)</b> -Is commercialism overly evident?	If yes, subtract 3 points off total score				
<b>TOTAL _____ / 63</b>					

## JUDGING GUIDELINES

CASE DIVISION					Points per category
Poster # C-					
Poster Title:					
<b>Title (3 points)</b> -Does the title adequately convey the objectives of the research?		0	1	2	3
<b>Statement of Purpose (6 points)</b> -Is the purpose of the report clearly stated? -Is the rationale for reporting the case well defined?		0	1	2	3
<b>Literature Review (9 points)</b> -Is the published research presented current/updated? -Is the review well organized and succinct? -Is the reference list available?		0	1	2	3
<b>Case Report (15 points)</b> -Is there adequate information presented to fully understand the case? -Is the history of present illness complete? -Are the physical findings fully reported? -Is the presentation of the case chronological? -Is there adequate justification of the treatment selected?		0	1	2	3
<b>Discussion (6 points)</b> -Are next steps/future directions discussed? -Are the recommendations made supported by the case presented?		0	1	2	3
<b>Significance (6 points)</b> -Is there educational value to the case presented? -Does this add value to the body of literature already available?		0	1	2	3
<b>Design (12 points)</b> -Is it easy to follow the sequence of the presentation -Are there spelling/grammatical errors that take away from the presentation? -Is the font and color schematic easily readable? -Did the schematics/images aid the viewer in comprehension of the presented material?		0	1	2	3
<b>Commercialism (-3 points)</b> -Is commercialism overly evident?		If yes, subtract 3 points off total score			
<b>TOTAL _____ / 57</b>					